

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SHAUN SMITH,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:20-cv-2219 KJM CKD P

ORDER

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief
18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided
19 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On January 11, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which
21 were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections to the findings and
22 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the
23 findings and recommendations.

24 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and
25 recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to
26 keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service
27 of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.


28 /////

1 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*,
2 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed
3 de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law
4 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court
5 . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
6 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 8 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 11, 2021, are adopted in full; and
- 9 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

10 DATED: March 3, 2021

11
12 
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28